

REMARKS

The Nonfinal Office Action mailed October 17, 2005, has been received and reviewed. As of the October 17, 2005 Office Action, Claims 1-14 were pending and presently stand rejected. Applicant has amended Claims 1, 7, 11 and 14. As of this AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE, Claims 1-14 are believed to be in condition for allowance and Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application as amended herein.

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) Anticipation Rejections

Anticipation Rejection Based on U.S. Patent No. 5,901,341 to Moon et al.

Claims 1-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Moon et al. The standard for anticipation as set forth by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit is as follows:

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. *Verdegaal Brothers v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 2 U.S.P.Q.2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim. *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 9 U.S.P.Q.2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Applicants have amended independent Claims 1, 7, 11 and 14 to clearly distinguish over the cited reference, Moon et al. The Moon reference is not configured for wall mounting as now recited in independent Claims 1, 7, 11 and 14.

More specifically, Claim 1 now recites the added limitation, "wherein said media network station is configured for wall mounting." Currently amended Claim 7 now recites the added limitation, "wherein each said media network station is configured for wall mounting." Claim 7 has also been amended to correct two errors in antecedent basis. Currently amended Claim 11 now recites the added limitation, "each digital media network station configured for wall mounting." Claim 11 has also been amended to correct one error in antecedent basis. Finally, currently amended Claim 14 now recites the added limitation, "each media network station configured for wall mounting. Support

for the added limitations to Claims 1, 7, 11 and 14 may be found in the as-filed specification at paragraphs [0007], [0008], [0014] and [0022].

Moon et al. discloses a land mobile radio system having a cell in which mobile radios transmit and receive both data and audio. See Title. The Moon et al. land mobile radio system is contemplated to be capable of wireless communications from mobile vehicles using the cell stations. See, FIG. 1, 66, 68, 78, 72, 74, 78, 80 and 82; Col. 4, Line 66 to Col. 5, Line 45. The elements of Moon et al. cited by the Examiner as being anticipatory to the claimed inventions (see FIGS. 2-3) are equipment in a cell 50 and are not "configured for wall mounting."

For all of these reasons, Claims 1-14 as amended are believed to be novel over Moon et al. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the anticipation rejection based thereon.

CONCLUSION

Claims 1-14 are believed to be in condition for allowance, and an early notice thereof is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner determine that additional issues remain which might be resolved by a telephone conference, the Examiner is respectfully invited to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fee or to credit any overpayment in connection with this Amendment to Deposit Account No. 50-0881.

Respectfully Submitted,



Paul C. Oestreich
Registration Number 44,983
Attorney for Applicant
MORRISS O'BRYANT COMPAGNI, P.C.
136 South Main Street, Suite 700
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
(801) 478-0071 Telephone
(801) 478-0071 Facsimile

PCO/bal